Student Opinion of Teaching Effectiveness (SOTE)- College of Arts & Letters Winter 2016 Term Summary

1. Rate your interest in the subject matter of this course before you took the class.

	Response by Course Level					
	Lower	Upper	Grad	Total		
Very High (1)	24.6%	38.2%	52.8%	30.2%		
High (2)	26.4%	24.5%	20.9%	25.6%		
Moderately High (3)	23.7%	19.9%	14.7%	22.1%		
Moderately Low (4)	10.6%	8.3%	7.4%	9.7%		
Low (5)	10.6%	6.4%	2.5%	8.9%		
Very Low (6)	4.1%	2.7%	1.8%	3.6%		
Mean	2.7	2.3	1.9	2.5		
Median	2	2	1	2		
Count	5542	3422	163	9127		

2. How many class sessions did you attend?

	Re	Response by Course Level							
	Lower	Upper	Grad	Total					
All (1)	48.3%	49.7%	57.1%	49.0%					
Almost All (2)	47.6%	47.4%	42.9%	47.5%					
More than Half (3)	3.6%	2.6%	0.0%	3.2%					
Less than Half (4)	0.5%	0.2%	0.0%	0.4%					
Mean	1.6	1.5	1.4	1.5					
Median	1	1	1	1					
Count	5547	3416	163	9126					

3. Why did you take this course? Choose all that apply.		Response by Course Level					
5. Why did you take this course? Choose all that appry.	Lower	Upper	Grad 34.3% 68.7% 30.7% 31.3%	Total			
3a. The course fulfills a general education requirement.	74.2%	39.4%	34.3%	60.6%			
3b. The course fulfills a requirement in my major.	21.7%	63.9%	68.7%	38.2%			
3c. I have enjoyed the professor's class(es) in the past.	6.4%	14.9%	30.7%	10.0%			
3d. The course will improve job/career opportunities.	14.8%	22.9%	31.3%	18.1%			
3e. The course sounded interesting to me.	21.8%	35.5%	45.2%	27.3%			

4. How would you rate t course?	ne overall qualit	y of Instru	ction in th	IS	
	Re	sponse by	Course Lev	/el	
	Lower	Upper	Grad	Total	
Excellent (6)	56.7%	65.3%	74.1%	60.2%	56.7% Ванные выявляется в 55.3% 26.5%
Very Good (5)	26.5%	21.8%	19.8%	24.6%	BRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRR
Good (4)	11.7%	8.8%	4.3%	10.5%	11.7% HEREBEREBERERER 8.8% 111111 4.3%
Fair (3)	4.0%	2.8%	1.9%	3.5%	1.5%
Poor (2)	0.8%	0.7%	0.0%	0.7%	■ 0.8% ¹⁸ 0.7% 0.0%
Unsatisfactory (1)	0.4%	0.6%	0.0%	0.4%	I 0.4% I 0.6% 0.0%
Mean	5.3	5.5	5.7	5.4	
Median	6	6	6	6	
Count	5582	3401	162	9145	

	Re	sponse by	Course Lev	vel	
	Lower	Upper	Grad	Total	
Excellent (6)	62.6%	70.2%	80.2%	65.8%	62.6% 888888888888888888888888888888888888
Very Good (5)	23.2%	17.9%	13.0%	21.1%	23.2% 888888888888888888888888888888888888
Good (4)	9.7%	7.9%	4.9%	8.9%	88888888 7.9% 1111 4.9%
Fair (3)	3.1%	2.4%	1.9%	2.8%	■ 3.1% ■ 2.4% ■ Lower 醫 Upper II Grad ■ 1.9%
Poor (2)	0.9%	0.9%	0.0%	0.9%	0.9% 8 0.9% 0.0%
Unsatisfactory (1)	0.5%	0.6%	0.0%	0.5%	0.5% 0.6% 0.0%
Mean	5.4	5.5	5.7	5.5	0.070
Median	6	6	6	6	
Count	5517	3398	162	9077	

Student Opinion of Teaching Effectiveness (SOTE)- College of Business and Public Administration Winter 2016 Term Summary

1. Rate your interest in the subject matter of this course before you took the class.

	Re	sponse by	Course Lev	vel
	Lower	Upper	Grad	Total
Very High (1)	19.4%	31.1%	34.8%	29.0%
High (2)	25.1%	27.3%	27.4%	26.8%
Moderately High (3)	24.2%	22.5%	18.5%	22.3%
Moderately Low (4)	12.4%	9.7%	10.0%	10.4%
Low (5)	13.1%	6.4%	6.5%	7.9%
Very Low (6)	5.8%	3.0%	2.8%	3.6%
Mean	2.9	2.4	2.3	2.5
Median	3	2	2	2
Count	972	2916	569	4457

2. How many class sessions did you attend?

	Re	Response by Course Level							
	Lower	Upper	Grad	Total					
All (1)	58.9%	57.1%	75.0%	59.8%					
Almost All (2)	36.1%	40.5%	23.1%	37.3%					
More than Half (3)	3.6%	1.9%	1.2%	2.2%					
Less than Half (4)	1.3%	0.5%	0.7%	0.7%					
Mean	1.5	1.5	1.3	1.4					
Median	1	1	1	1					
Count	967	2907	567	4441					

3. Why did you take this course? Choose all that apply.	R	Response by Course Level					
5. Why did you take this course? Choose an that apply.	Lower	Upper	Grad 38.6% 72.5% 9.9% 30.4%	Total			
3a. The course fulfills a general education requirement.	42.1%	24.6%	38.6%	30.2%			
3b. The course fulfills a requirement in my major.	67.8%	81.3%	72.5%	77.3%			
3c. I have enjoyed the professor's class(es) in the past.	2.4%	6.4%	9.9%	6.0%			
3d. The course will improve job/career opportunities.	22.2%	26.2%	30.4%	25.9%			
3e. The course sounded interesting to me.	14.8%	17.1%	14.3%	16.2%			

	Re	sponse by	Course Lev	/el	
	Lower	Upper	Grad	Total	
Excellent (6)	45.1%	51.2%	47.9%	49.5%	45.1% 51.29 47.9%
Very Good (5)	26.9%	27.2%	29.6%	27.4%	26.9% 28838888888888888888888888888888888888
Good (4)	17.3%	14.0%	12.7%	14.5%	17.3% B888888888888888888888888888888888888
Fair (3)	6.1%	4.7%	5.6%	5.1%	6.1% ■ Lower 職 Upper II Grad
Poor (2)	3.2%	1.8%	2.1%	2.2%	3.2% 888 1.8% 1111 2.1%
Unsatisfactory (1)	1.4%	1.1%	2.1%	1.3%	 1.4% 1.1% 1.1%
Mean	5.0	5.2	5.1	5.1	
Median	5	6	5	5	
Count	973	2922	574	4469	

	Re	sponse by	Course Lev	/el	
	Lower	Upper	Grad	Total	
Excellent (6)	50.1%	56.3%	52.5%	54.4%	50.1% 30563345805656565656565656565656565656565656565
Very Good (5)	24.3%	23.1%	27.2%	23.9%	24.3% 888888888888888888888888888888888888
Good (4)	13.4%	13.1%	10.6%	12.8%	13.4% BIRRENERBERBERBERBER 13.1% 10.6%
Fair (3)	6.9%	4.5%	5.7%	5.2%	6.9% ####### 4.5% ■ Lower 18 Upper 11 Grad
Poor (2)	3.8%	1.9%	1.8%	2.3%	3.8% 888 1.9% 1.8%
Unsatisfactory (1)	1.5%	1.1%	2.3%	1.4%	 1.5% 1.1% 2.3%
Mean	5.1	5.2	5.2	5.2	
Median	6	6	6	6	
Count	969	2890	566	4425	

Student Opinion of Teaching Effectiveness (SOTE)- College of Education Winter 2016 Term Summary

1. Rate your interest in the subject matter of this course before you took the class.

	Re	sponse by	Course Lev	vel
	Lower	Upper	Grad	Total
Very High (1)	34.5%	40.4%	43.5%	41.8%
High (2)	37.9%	29.4%	28.8%	29.5%
Moderately High (3)	13.8%	17.9%	16.1%	16.8%
Moderately Low (4)	3.4%	6.6%	4.8%	5.5%
Low (5)	8.6%	4.1%	4.8%	4.6%
Very Low (6)	1.7%	1.5%	2.0%	1.8%
Mean	2.2	2.1	2.0	2.1
Median	2	2	2	2
Count	58	653	735	1446

2. How many class sessions did you attend?

	Re	Response by Course Level								
	Lower	Upper	Grad	Total						
All (1)	81.0%	82.9%	74.4%	78.5%						
Almost All (2)	17.2%	17.1%	24.7%	21.0%						
More than Half (3)	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.3%						
Less than Half (4)	1.7%	0.0%	0.4%	0.3%						
Mean	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.2						
Median	1	1	1	1						
Count	58	651	742	1451						

3. Why did you take this course? Choose all that apply.	F	Response by Course Level					
5. Why did you take this course? Choose an that apply.	Lower	Upper	Grad	Total			
3a. The course fulfills a general education requirement.	33.3%	32.9%	26.0%	29.4%			
3b. The course fulfills a requirement in my major.	76.7%	73.8%	84.5%	79.4%			
3c. I have enjoyed the professor's class(es) in the past.	11.7%	12.3%	15.9%	14.1%			
3d. The course will improve job/career opportunities.	25.0%	35.9%	34.1%	34.6%			
3e. The course sounded interesting to me.	28.3%	11.7%	14.3%	13.7%			

4. How would you rate the course?	he overall qualit	ty of instru	iction in th	is	
	Re	sponse by	Course Le	vel	
	Lower	Upper	Grad	Total	
Excellent (6)	63.2%	58.4%	55.2%	57.0%	63.2%
Very Good (5)	26.3%	20.9%	22.8%	22.1%	000000000000000000000000000000000000000
Good (4)	8.8%	13.6%	13.4%	13.3%	8.8% 888888888888888888888 13.4%
Fair (3)	1.8%	4.5%	4.8%	4.6%	4.876
Poor (2)	0.0%	1.9%	2.3%	2.0%	0.0% 1881 1.9% 1881 2.3%
Unsatisfactory (1)	0.0%	0.6%	1.5%	1.0%	0.0% [®] 0.6% ^{IIII} 1.5%
Mean	5.5	5.3	5.2	5.2	
Median	6	6	6	6	
Count	57	640	746	1443	

	Re	sponse by	Course Lev	/el	
	Lower	Upper	Grad	Total	
Excellent (6)	66.7%	63.4%	59.9%	61.7%	66.79 5885888888888888888888888888888888888
Very Good (5)	22.8%	19.8%	23.3%	21.7%	22.8%
Good (4)	10.5%	8.4%	8.8%	8.6%	10.5% SERBERSER 8.4%
Fair (3)	0.0%	5.4%	5.0%	5.0%	0.0% 8888888 5.4% Lower BUpper III Grad
Poor (2)	0.0%	2.3%	1.7%	1.9%	0.0% 881 2.3% 111 1.7%
Unsatisfactory (1)	0.0%	0.6%	1.4%	1.0%	0.0% ፮ 0.6% ■ 1.4%
Mean	5.6	5.3	5.3	5.3	1.470
Median	6	6	6	6	
Count	57	645	720	1422	

Student Opinion of Teaching Effectiveness (SOTE)- College of Natural Sciences Winter 2016 Term Summary

1. Rate your interest in the subject matter of this course before you took the class.

	Response by Course Level				
	Lower	Upper	Grad	Total	
Very High (1)	23.8%	35.4%	31.7%	28.1%	
High (2)	26.3%	26.2%	36.6%	26.3%	
Moderately High (3)	21.6%	19.5%	14.9%	20.8%	
Moderately Low (4)	10.8%	8.5%	5.9%	9.9%	
Low (5)	11.1%	7.1%	7.9%	9.6%	
Very Low (6)	6.5%	3.2%	3.0%	5.3%	
Mean	2.8	2.4	2.3	2.6	
Median	2	2	2	2	
Count	7520	4399	101	12020	

2. How many class sessions did you attend?

	Re	Response by Course Level					
	Lower	Upper	Grad	Total			
All (1)	63.8%	63.4%	65.3%	63.7%			
Almost All (2)	33.1%	34.2%	28.7%	33.5%			
More than Half (3)	2.6%	2.1%	4.0%	2.4%			
Less than Half (4)	0.5%	0.3%	2.0%	0.5%			
Mean	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4			
Median	1	1	1	1			
Count	7523	4371	101	11995			

3. Why did you take this course? Choose all that apply.	Response by Course Level					
5. Why did you take this course? Choose an that apply.	Lower	Upper	Grad	Total		
3a. The course fulfills a general education requirement.	53.9%	25.8%	29.3%	43.5%		
3b. The course fulfills a requirement in my major.	50.0%	77.8%	56.9%	60.1%		
3c. I have enjoyed the professor's class(es) in the past.	4.3%	11.2%	16.3%	6.9%		
3d. The course will improve job/career opportunities.	12.2%	21.4%	13.8%	15.5%		
3e. The course sounded interesting to me.	16.1%	20.5%	17.9%	17.7%		

4. How would you rate t course?	ne overall qualit	y or instru	ction in th	15	
	Re	sponse by	Course Lev	/el	
	Lower	Upper	Grad	Total	
Excellent (6)	53.1%	53.2%	51.4%	53.1%	53.19 53.29 51.4%
Very Good (5)	25.4%	25.2%	29.5%	25.4%	25.4% BB88888888888888888888888888888888888
Good (4)	13.8%	14.4%	11.4%	14.0%	13.8% 13.8% 11.4%
Fair (3)	5.1%	5.1%	2.9%	5.1%	5.1% BARRENDER 5.1% Lower W Upper W Grad
Poor (2)	1.7%	1.6%	4.8%	1.7%	1.7% 1.7% 1.6% 1.6%
Unsatisfactory (1)	0.9%	0.6%	0.0%	0.8%	■ 0.9% ■ 0.6% 0.0%
Mean	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	
Median	6	6	6	6	
Count	7549	4381	105	12035	

	Re	sponse by	Course Lev	vel	
	Lower	Upper	Grad	Total	
Excellent (6)	56.8%	58.4%	55.8%	57.4%	56.3 300000000000000000000000000000000000
Very Good (5)	22.6%	21.6%	26.3%	22.3%	22.6% 888888888888888888888888888888888888
Good (4)	12.9%	13.2%	9.5%	13.0%	12.9% BREARBARREARBARREARBARREARBARREARBARREARBARREARBARREARBARREARBARREARBARREARBARREARBARREARBARREARBARREARBARREARBA
Fair (3)	4.8%	4.4%	4.2%	4.6%	4.8% 888888 4.4% ■ Lower 18 Upper 11 Grad
Poor (2)	1.9%	1.6%	4.2%	1.8%	 1.9% 1.6% 4.2%
Unsatisfactory (1)	1.1%	0.8%	0.0%	1.0%	■ 1.1% ■ 0.8% 0.0%
Mean	5.2	5.3	5.3	5.3	0.070
Median	6	6	6	6	
Count	7499	4386	95	11980	

Student Opinion of Teaching Effectiveness (SOTE)- College of Social and Behavioral Sciences Winter 2016 Term Summary

1. Rate your interest in the subject matter of this course before you took the class.

	Response by Course Level					
	Lower	Upper	Grad	Total		
Very High (1)	18.3%	32.6%	39.2%	27.6%		
High (2)	25.2%	27.7%	27.2%	26.7%		
Moderately High (3)	24.2%	18.5%	16.9%	20.6%		
Moderately Low (4)	12.3%	8.5%	7.6%	9.9%		
Low (5)	13.9%	8.5%	6.5%	10.4%		
Very Low (6)	6.1%	4.2%	2.5%	4.8%		
Mean	3.0	2.5	2.2	2.6		
Median	3	2	2	2		
Count	3953	5811	602	10366		

2. How many class sessions did you attend?

	Re	Response by Course Level					
	Lower	Upper	Grad	Total			
All (1)	52.3%	53.4%	58.1%	53.3%			
Almost All (2)	42.8%	42.8%	40.9%	42.7%			
More than Half (3)	4.1%	3.2%	0.8%	3.4%			
Less than Half (4)	0.8%	0.6%	0.2%	0.6%			
Mean	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.5			
Median	1	1	1	1			
Count	3972	5814	602	10388			

3. Why did you take this course? Choose all that apply.	Re	Response by Course Level						
5. Why did you take this course? Choose an that apply.	Lower	Upper	Grad	Total				
3a. The course fulfills a general education requirement.	66.4%	32.3%	31.9%	45.9%				
3b. The course fulfills a requirement in my major.	27.0%	69.8%	79.9%	53.3%				
3c. I have enjoyed the professor's class(es) in the past.	3.3%	13.4%	14.4%	9.4%				
3d. The course will improve job/career opportunities.	9.6%	20.5%	29.1%	16.6%				
3e. The course sounded interesting to me.	18.1%	33.4%	20.1%	26.5%				

4. How would you rate t course?	he overall qualit	ty of instru	ction in th	is	
	Re	sponse by	Course Lev	vel	
	Lower	Upper	Grad	Total	
Excellent (6)	47.8%	57.6%	57.7%	53.9%	47.8% 88888888888888888888888888888888888
Very Good (5)	28.0%	24.8%	24.9%	26.0%	28.0% 888888888888888888888888888888888888
Good (4)	16.3%	11.4%	12.3%	13.3%	16.3%
Fair (3)	5.8%	4.3%	4.3%	4.9%	5.8% ₩₩₩₩₩ 4.3% ■ Lower ₩ Upper ■ Grad
Poor (2)	1.3%	1.2%	0.7%	1.2%	■ 1.3% ■ 1.2% ■ 0.7%
Unsatisfactory (1)	0.7%	0.7%	0.2%	0.7%	■ 0.7% [®] 0.7% ↓ 0.2%
Mean	5.1	5.3	5.3	5.2	
Median	5	6	6	6	
Count	3961	5841	610	10412	

	Re	sponse by	Course Lev	/el	
	Lower	Upper	Grad	Total	
Excellent (6)	52.1%	62.7%	59.9%	58.5%	52.1% 5888888888888888888888888888888888888
Very Good (5)	25.2%	21.3%	23.7%	22.9%	25.2% 888888888888888888888888888888888888
Good (4)	15.6%	9.8%	11.9%	12.1%	15.6% BREAREAREAREARE 9.8%
Fair (3)	4.6%	4.2%	4.1%	4.3%	4.6% ■ Lower 18 Upper 11 Grad
Poor (2)	1.7%	1.3%	0.3%	1.4%	■ 1.7% ≋ 1.3% □ 0.3%
Unsatisfactory (1)	0.9%	0.7%	0.2%	0.7%	■ 0.9% ¹ 0.7% 0.2%
Mean	5.2	5.4	5.4	5.3	
Median	6	6	6	6	
Count	3948	5808	613	10369	

Student Opinion of Teaching Effectiveness (SOTE)- College of University Studies Winter 2016 Term Summary

1. Rate your interest in the subject matter of this course before you took the class.

	Response by Course Level					
	Lower	Upper	Grad	Total		
Very High (1)	24.6%	0.0%	0.0%	24.6%		
High (2)	24.2%	0.0%	0.0%	24.2%		
Moderately High (3)	20.4%	0.0%	0.0%	20.4%		
Moderately Low (4)	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%		
Low (5)	13.7%	0.0%	0.0%	13.7%		
Very Low (6)	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%		
Mean	2.9	N/A	N/A	2.9		
Median	3	N/A	N/A	3		
Count	211	0	0	211		

2. How many class sessions did you attend?

	Response by Course Level						
	Lower	Upper	Grad	Total			
All (1)	44.1%	0.0%	0.0%	44.1%			
Almost All (2)	53.5%	0.0%	0.0%	53.5%			
More than Half (3)	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%			
Less than Half (4)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
Mean	1.6	N/A	N/A	1.6			
Median	2	N/A	N/A	2			
Count	213	0	0	213			

3. Why did you take this course? Choose all that apply.	Response by Course Level				
5. Why did you take this course? Choose all that apply.	Lower	Upper	Grad	Total	
3a. The course fulfills a general education requirement.	51.5%	0.0%	0.0%	51.5%	
3b. The course fulfills a requirement in my major.	11.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.0%	
3c. I have enjoyed the professor's class(es) in the past.	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	
3d. The course will improve job/career opportunities.	8.4%	0.0%	0.0%	8.4%	
3e. The course sounded interesting to me.	22.9%	0.0%	0.0%	22.9%	

4. How would you rate the course?	he overall qualit	ty of instru	ction in th	is					
	Re	sponse by	Course Lev	/el					
	Lower	Upper	Grad	Total					
Excellent (6)	63.8%	0.0%	0.0%	63.8%	0.0% 0.0%				63.8%
Very Good (5)	22.5%	0.0%	0.0%	22.5%	0.0% 0.0%	22.5%			
Good (4)	11.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.0%	0.0% 0.0%				
Fair (3)	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%	2.3% 0.0% 0.0%	Lower	🟿 Upper	III Grad	
Poor (2)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%				
Unsatisfactory (1)	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.5% 0.0% 0.0%				
Mean	5.5	N/A	N/A	5.5					
Median	6	N/A	N/A	6					
Count	218	0	0	218					

	Re	sponse by	Course Lev	vel				
	Lower	Upper	Grad	Total				
Excellent (6)	70.8%	0.0%	0.0%	70.8%	0.0% 0.0%	46.00/		70.8%
Very Good (5)	16.9%	0.0%	0.0%	16.9%	0.078	16.9%		
Good (4)	9.6%	0.0%	0.0%	9.6%	0.0%	%		
Fair (3)	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	1.8% 0.0% 0.0%		Lower 👪 Upper	III Grad
Poor (2)	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.5% 0.0% 0.0%			
Unsatisfactory (1)	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.5% 0.0% 0.0%			
Mean	5.5	N/A	N/A	5.5	0.078			
Median	6	N/A	N/A	6				
Count	219	0	0	219				